UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/715,337	11/17/2003	Robert Allen Smith	KER020/4-1DIVUS	2390	
21586 VINSON & EL	7590 08/05/200 KINS, L.L.P.	9	EXAMINER		
FIRST CITY TO	OWER	WARE, DEBORAH K			
1001 FANNIN STREET, SUITE 2500 HOUSTON, TX 77002-6760			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1651		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/05/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPTLdocket@velaw.com bmelder@velaw.com dwilcox@velaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/715,337 SMITH E		SMITH ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DEBBIE K. WARE	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l l	ss		
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection			-		
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.					
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8.</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li> </ul>	5).				
), which is after the expiration of the statutory pe Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	··		
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).					
<ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li><li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated),	, which is		
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire intere	est, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under	37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking	g court review		
7. The reason(s) below:					
/DKW/	/David M. Naff/				
Deborah K. Ware Examiner Art Unit: 1651	Primary Examiner, Art Unit	t 1657			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be pron	mptly filed to		